

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/726,937	12/03/2003	Tomoya Takahashi	17296	5378
23389	7590 01/17/2006		EXAM	INER
	COTT MURPHY & PR	LEUBECKER, JOHN P		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\epsilon$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/726,937	TAKAHASHI, TOMOYA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Leubecker	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 L	December 2003.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
2. Copies of the position copies of the priority documen	• •	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>		ceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ceived
		,
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) 🔲 Notice of Infon	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) ☐ Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/726,937 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-6 and 12-22, drawn to a method and apparatus, classified in class 348,

subclass 69.

II. Claim 7, drawn to method, classified in class 348, subclass 70.

III. Claims 8-11, drawn to method, classified in class 600, subclass 180.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed and the subcombination has separate utility by itself with an endoscope system without

light quantity control.

3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this

relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed and the subcombination has separate utility by itself such as with an endoscope system

without white balance control.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,937 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as in an endoscope system without light quantity control and invention II has separate utility such as in a endoscope system without white balance control. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for any one group is not all encompassing to any other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. To evidence the requirement of "serious burden", it is noted that the application of such requirement affects both search and examination of the claimed patentably distinct inventions. Therefore, as much as the search for the distinct inventions might overlap to a certain extent, there still exists the burden of separate analysis of the prior art references for each distinct invention, as well as a separate written analysis in the Office Action. In the instant case, different references could conceivably anticipate each subcombination separately but not the combination since each subcombination can be utilized by itself in an endoscope system. Separate analysis of different references for different inventions requires more time than is given an Examiner to examine an application, causing a burden.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

jpl